

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,702	04/02/2004	Gerhard Kordel	306.43720X00	9718	
20457	20457 7590 09/01/2005			EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			FISHMAN,	FISHMAN, MARINA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2832		
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

····		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/815,702	KORDEL ET AL.	(M)
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Marina Fishman	2832	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence addres	ss
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nations of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. FOR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of ony period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No., by statute, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commule ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	This action is non-final.	·	erits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be) accepted or b) objected on to the drawing(s) be held in abe e correction is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ring(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the Internation of the action for the Internation of the action for the Internation of the Int	cuments have been received. cuments have been received i the priority documents have be I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Sta	ge
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date	9-948) Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/815,702 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

General status

1. This is a Final Action on the Merits. Claims 1 - 10 are pending in the case and are being examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simonsen [US 4,224,487] in view of Them [US 3,715,697].

Regarding Claims 1, 2, 6 and 7, Simonsen discloses a pyromechanical disconnecting apparatus for battery shutoff circuit breaker comprising:

- a housing [2];
- an electrically conductive bus [11] with a pre-determined cut position [15];
- a chisel [5] driven by pyrotechnic propellant charge [3];
- the conductor bus is clamped by a locking bolt (parts of the housing);
- a receiving space [17] in the locking bolt;
- when the conductor bus is severed by the chisel, the conductor bus is bent in the receiving space. The recess transpicuously

connects the receiving space with the atmosphere through channel [10].

Regarding Claim 1 - 10, Simonsen discloses the instant claimed invention except for the bolt is a part of the housing and not a separate unit. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make a bolt as a separate unit, in order to simplify clamping of the conductive bus [11], since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. [Newin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179.]

Regarding Claims 3, 4, 8 and 9 Simonsen discloses the instant claimed invention except for "the recess covered by transparent material" and "the transparent material is glass or plastic." Them [Figure 3] discloses a device for cutting off a conductor, upon reaching a specified temperature. The device is provided with a transparent insulating plastic disc [33]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to provide a transparent plastic disc below the receiving space [17] in Simonsen, as suggested by Them, so that the condition of the conductor can be viewed [Them, column 3, lines 56-58].

Regarding Claims 5 and 10 Simonsen [Figure 1] discloses cross section of the recess [10] to be smaller then receiving space [17].

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 08/08/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,702 Page 4

Art Unit: 2832

5. The rejections in the previous office action under 35 USC §112, second paragraph and the Specification objections are withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

6. The Applicant, has argued that Simonsen does not disclose a locking bolt. The Examiner, in the body of the rejection has already admitted to that fact. However, a part of the housing of Simonsen, acts as a bolt and as pointed out above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a housing and a separate bolt, in order to simplify the clamping of the conducting bus with a portion of the housing. Examiner is of the opinion that one of ordinary skill in that art would be motivated to similifying the assembly of conducting bus with the portion of the housing. In addition, as pointed out before, the court (In re Erlichman) has already established that constructing a formerly integral structure into various elements involves only routine skill in the art and thus making the housing which was integral before, into two separate parts, a housing and a clamping bolt, would therefore, involve only a routine skill in the art.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/815,702

Art Unit: 2832

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marina Fishman whose telephone number is 571-272-1991. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-5 M-T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marina Fishman August 24, 2005 SP 6-AN2032 09/01/05 Page 5